

राष्ट्रीय राजमार्ग एवं अवसंरचना विकास निगम लिमिटेड

सड़क परिवहन और राजमार्ग मंत्रालय, भारत सरकार
तीसरी मंजिल, पीटीआई बिल्डिंग, 4-संसद मार्ग, नई दिल्ली-110001

National Highways & Infrastructure Development Corporation Limited

Ministry of Road Transport & Highways, Govt. of India
3rd Floor, PTI Building, 4-Parliament Street, New Delhi-110001, +91 11 2346 1600, www.nhidcl.com



BHARATMALA
ROAD TO PROSPERITY



BUILDING INFRASTRUCTURE - BUILDING THE NATION
CIN: U45400DL2014GOI269062

सार्वजनिक क्षेत्र का उपक्रम

A PUBLIC SECTOR UNDERTAKING

NHIDCL/Gholteer Landslide/NH-07/2018

Dated: 16.11.2018

Subject: Construction and Upgradation including specialized slope treatment and augment of existing road from Km. 379+100 to Km. 380+275 (approx. 1.175 km) on Gholteer Landslide of National Highway No. 07 (hereinafter called the "NH - 07") in the state of Uttarakhand - Technical Results -Reg.-

Please refer to your bid dated 30.10.2018 submitted towards subject cited project. The following is the list of technically responsive Bidders.

Sr. No.	Name of Bidder	Clarifications sought	Status
1	M/s Bharat Construction	<p>a. Appendix III submitted by the Bidder is executed on 26.10.2018; however Appendix IA & IB is signed on 25.10.2018 i.e. before getting power. Bidder is required to clarify that in what capacity did Mr. Rajeev Garg has signed the documents on 25.10.2018 or provide any charter document through which Mr. Rajeev Garg is getting power on 25.10.2018.</p> <p>b. Bid capacity calculation as per RFP is not found in the submitted bid.</p> <p>c. Value of B - Bidder has submitted the Statement showing value of all existing commitments and ongoing works verified by Statutory Auditor in respect of the Value of 'B'. However Certificates for all the projects mentioned in table for value of B for amount of work completed duly signed by the Client or its Engineer-in-charge not below the rank of Executive Engineer are not found in the submitted bid</p> <p>d. In the absence of client certificates, value of B is considered as the full value of work awarded (worst scenario). Further, in last four projects i.e. from point 8 to 11, bidder stated that appointed date is yet to be decided. Bidder has applied factor of 1.05 for these four projects. Therefore the project has been assumed to be started in the FY 2016-17 (worst scenario) and value of B is considered accordingly for these four projects.</p> <p>e. Based on the above facts Bid capacity of the Bidder works out to be Rs 58.80 cr. (in worst scenario) which is less than the required Minimum Bid capacity required to be Technical Responsive i.e. 79.09 Crore, therefore Bidder is considered as technically Non- Responsive.</p>	Technically Non-Responsive
2.	M/s KCC Buildcon Pvt. Ltd.	<p>i. In an undertaking (for details of Arbitration/Litigation.....) submitted by the Bidder, in para 2nd, last line, Bidder has mentioned that "the bar subsists as on the date of Applicant". (pg. 128). However initially it is mentioned that no bar subsists which is contradictory and therefore need to be clarified.</p> <p>ii. Value of B - Bidder has submitted the Statement showing value of all existing commitments and ongoing</p>	Technically Non-Responsive

em

		<p>works verified by Statutory Auditor in respect of the Value of 'B'. Certificates for the projects mentioned at serial no. 1, 2 & 5 for amount of work completed/ balance work, is enclosed but the same are signed by Team Leader/ Resident Engineer and not by the Client or its Engineer-in-charge not below the rank of Executive Engineer.</p> <p>iii. In the absence of client certificates, value of B for these three projects are considered as the full value of work awarded (worst scenario) for these three projects and accordingly Bid capacity of the Bidder works out to be (-)65.29 i.e. less than the required. As Bidder is not meeting the criteria of Bid capacity, therefore Bid submitted by the bidder is considered as technically non-responsive for next stage i.e. opening of Financial Bid.</p>	
3.	M/s Nagyan Constructions Pvt. Ltd.	<p>i. Bank Guarantee is required to be signed by two authorized representative of Bank; however the Bank Guarantee submitted by the Bidder in the Bid is signed by one representative of Bank.</p> <p>ii. MOA & AOA of the Bidder is not found in the Bid. Bidder is required to provide the same.</p> <p>iii. In Annex I submitted, point 5 is missing (point 5d is required to be submitted by sole bidder also), therefore the same is required to be clarified.</p> <p>iv. In details of Value of B submitted, number of years for which the ongoing commitments are given is not mentioned which is required to be mentioned as per format given in RFP. Bid capacity calculation as per RFP is not found in the submitted bid.</p> <p>v. Bidder has claimed single project in Annex II & IV. Start and completion dates mentioned in Annex IV & statutory auditor certificate attached are August 2017 (for both) while as per client certificate attached there is different start and completion dates. We have considered the start and completion dates mentioned in the client certificate.</p> <p>vi. In Annex III–Financial Capacity of the Bidder & Net-Worth Certificate from the Statutory Auditor of the Bidder, Bidder has claimed networth of Rs. 4.79 Crore including Share application Money of Rs. 2.05 Crore, and the same has not been considered for computation of Net Worth, therefore Net Worth is assessed at Rs. 2.71 Crore, However, minimum required Net Worth (Financial Capacity is Rs. 3.95 Crore. Based on above fact, Bidder is not meeting the Financial capacity criteria, therefore Bid submitted by the Bidder i.e. Nagyan Construction Pvt. Ltd., is considered as Non-Responsive.</p>	Technically Non-Responsive
4.	M/s Maccaferri Environmental Solutions Pvt. Ltd	<p>i. Annex V- Statement of Legal Capacity, submitted by the Bidder is addressed to Chief Engineer, Project Shivalik, IDPL Complex, Virbhadra, Rishikesh instead of GM (T), NHIDCL, PTI Building , 3rd Floor, 4, Parliament Street – New Delhi. Also</p>	Technically Non-Responsive

		<p>the said Annex-V is not dated as submitted by the bidder.</p> <p>ii. In Appendix-IB Letter Comprising Financial Bid, submitted by the Bidder, the DSC details of the Authorized Signatory are not mentioned as required as per the format of RFP. Bidder is required to clarify.</p> <p>iii. The Bidder has submitted, Net Worth and Turnover Certificate for the FY 2017-18 from Bhuvnesh Kumar & Associates (CA), Turnover Certificate for FY 2012-13 to 2016-17 from Sukhveer & Co. (CA) and Technical Experience Certificate in support of Annex-IV from Dharkar & Co. (CA). As per the provisions of RFP all these documents are to be certified from the Statutory Auditor of the Company, however in present case the same is being certified by 3 different CA firms. Bidder is required to clarify.</p> <p>iv. Also the Bidder has not attached the full annual report of the company for all financial year, especially for FY 2017-18 the Bidder has not attached the Auditor's Report and full notes to accounts and Director report. Bidder is required to clarify and submit the same. Based on above the bidder is considered Technically Non Responsive.</p>	
5.	M/s RCC Developers Ltd:	<p>i. In Appendix-IA-Letter Comprising the Technical Bid submitted by the Bidder, Point number 15 to 27 is missing.</p> <p>ii. In the Annex VI submitted by the bidder, details of Value of B and its certificates are also not legible. As such, Bid capacity of the Bidder cannot be assessed.</p>	Technically Non-Responsive
6.	M/s Chaudhary Construction Company Pvt. Ltd.	Nil	Technically Responsive
7.	M/s Gawar Construction	Nil	Technically Responsive

2. You are therefore, requested to submit the requisite clarifications up to 26.11.2018 till 1500 hrs, failing which the evaluation shall be carried out as per the documents/records available with submitted by you with the bid.

Yours Faithfully,



V K Singh
Executive Director (T)